## ENANTIOSELECTIVE DEPROTONATION OF TWO RACEMIC CYCLIC CARBONYL COMPOUNDS BY A CHIRAL LITHIUM AMIDE

M.B. Eleveld and H. Hogeveen\* Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 16, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

Abstract: The cyclic carbonyl compounds  $2$  and  $8$  have been obtained in optical active form  $(0.7.$  46% and 36%, respectively) from the racemic compounds by a deprotonation/protonation sequence, using chiral lithium amide 1. The optical activity of 2 is caused by a difference  $\frac{1}{2}$ in the deprotonation rates of the enantiomers.

Despite the great interest in stereoselective synthesis nowadays only a few stereoselective deprotonation/protonation reactions have been reported.' An isolated example of an enantioselective deprotonation is found in the reaction of cyclohexene oxide by a series of chiral lithium amides yielding enantiomerically enriched 2-cyclohexenol. $^2$  Hogeveen and Zwart reported the enantioselective deprotonation/protonation reaction of the strained tricyclic ketone 1.2.4.5.6-pentamethyltricyclo $[3.1.0.0^{2.6}]$  hexanone-3 using lithium (S,S')- $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ '-dimethyldibenzylamide (<u>1</u>) as chiral base and H<sub>2</sub>O as proton donor. $^3$  It was suggested that the existence of two diastereomeric lithium enolate.chiral amine complexes would be responsible for the observed enantioselectivity. In order to gain more insight in this type of reaction we investigated it in more detail using  $2,2,6$ -trimethylcyclohexanone (2) as model system. 4

A THF solution of racemic <u>2</u> was added to a THF/hexane solution of 2 eqs. of <u>1</u> (prepared by addition of n-butyllithium to the amine  $\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}$  at -80°C and the reaction temperature was subsequently raised in 0.5 hours to -4O'C; on retooling the solution to -8O'C and addition



of 10 eqs. of an aqueous 1N HCl solution, the ketone 2 was regained in 84% yield, but now in optical active form; [ $\alpha$ ] $_{578}$  = +11.7 (c=2, CHCl $_3$ ). In this reaction the amine <u>4</u> could be recovered without any epimerization or racemization (<2%) in a yield over 90%. A number of variables of this reaction were investigated: the use of  $1-\frac{1}{4}$  eqs. of 1, the addition of  $\frac{1}{4}$ eqs. of amine  $\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}$  and the use of protondonors such as water, nitromethane, the HCl-salt of  $\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}$  or (2R,3R)-O,C-dipivaloyltartaric acid did not change the enantioselectivity. However, a change in the solvent showed a great effect: when the reaction was performed, under otherwise identical conditions, in lHF/HMPA (4:1, v/v) or DME as solvent, the e.e. decreased (Table I, runs l-3); on the other hand, with diethyl ether as solvent the enantioselectivity increased strongly (e.e. 46%, run 4). It is remarkable that the change of solvent from THF to diethyl

Table I: Deprotonation of 2 by  $1^a$ , followed by quenching with 1N HCl in  $H_0^0$ 

run	solvent	c.y. $(3)^c$	e.e. $(3)^5$	$\overline{\text{conf.}}^{10}$
	THF		15	
	THF/HMPA $(4:1, v/v)$	77		
	DME	65		
	ether	63	46	

 $^{\circ}$  Temperature of deprotonation: -80°C to -40°C in 0.5 h (except run 3: -60 C to -40 C  $b$  Temperature of quenching: -80°C; <sup>C</sup> Yields of isolated product (not optimalized);

ether not only increases the e.e. but also gives enrichment of the opposite enantiomer. <sup>If</sup>

When the lithium enolate anion 3 in THF, prepared by deprotonation of 2 with 2 eqs. of 1, was quenched with an 1N DC1 solution in D<sub>2</sub>0, no deuterium incorporation (<5%) was observed in product 2 (e.e. 16% [S-configuration]). This indicates that protonation of the lithium enolate 3 does not occur directly by the added proton donor but that instead the amine is the immediate proton source. In the reverse experiment, starting with 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone-6-d<sub>1</sub> (5)<sup>12</sup> and quenching with 1N HCl in H<sub>2</sub>0, only 5 and no 2 was obtained.

The general mechanistic scheme for the optical activation is shown below. Indications for the existence of diastereomeric lithium enolate.chiral amine complexes (with the



presumed structures <u>6a</u> and <u>6b</u>) are the following: i) the lack of deuterium incorporation when  $\frac{3}{2}$  is quenched with 1N DC1 in D<sub>2</sub>0; ii) the found enantioselectivities in the benzylation<sup>13</sup> and carboxylation **14** reaction of 1 require an interaction of 2 with a chiral auxiliary, in this case the chiral amine  $4$ ; iii) examples of carbanion.amine complexes are known in the literature. **15** Evidence for believing that the **Optical** induction **is** due to a rate difference in the deprotonation of R-<u>2</u> and S-<u>2</u> (Scheme, k $\vec{R}^{\neq k}$ g) is based on the following observations  $^{16}$ : **i)** when an enantiomerically enriched sample of 2 (e.e. 15%) was used as starting material in the deprotonation/protonation sequence under otherwise identical conditions (Table I, THF), the product 2 showed an e.e. of 28%; ii) on changing the temperature of the deprotonation of racemic <u>2</u> to either  $0^{\circ}$ C (0.5 h) or to -80 $^{\circ}$ C (0.5 h) no optical activity was observed in the reaction product 2. The latter results are explained by assuming that at  $-80^{\circ}$ C virtually no deprotonation and at  $0^{\mathsf{O}}$ C a complete deprotonation has taken place, whereas under the conditions of the standard reaction the protonation is incomplete. This was checked by silylation of the formed lithium enolate in a series of parallel experiments (Table II). The absence of a silylated product in the experiment of -80 $^{\circ}$ C proves that there is no



Table II: Silylation of lithium enolate 3



a Reaction time:  $0.5$  h; ratio  $2:1 = 1:2$ .

 $^{\text{b}}$  Relative amount of 7 and 2 measured by GLC (SE-30 column, column temperature: 120<sup>o</sup>C).

deprotonation (run 1), while at  $0^{\circ}$ C complete deprotonation of 2 has occurred (run 3). The result of run 2 shows that the deprotonation of <u>2</u> under the standard conditions is indeed an incomplete process. In summary, it is concluded that the observed enantioselectivity is exclusively due to kinetic resolution. In this model the theoretical maximum e.e. is 50%, which shows that the results in run 4 (Table I) are indeed quite impressive.

Finally we have also briefly investigated the possibility of inducing optical activi in esters by a deprotonation/protonation sequence with 2 as base and methyl-2,2-diphe cyclopropane carboxylate (<u>8</u>) as substrate. When the reaction with racemic <u>8</u> as substrate was performed in a similar way as with 2 using TRF as solvent. 8 was obtained in optical active



form ( $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{n}^{rt}$  = -77.9) in 72% chemical yield which shows that this reaction yielded the Renantiomer in an optical yield of 37%.<sup>17,18</sup>

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Dr. L. Duhamel for a gift of (2R,3R)-O,O-dipivaloyltartaric acid. This investigation was supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO).

## References and Notes

- 1. L. Duhamel, P. Duhamel, J.-C. Launey, J.-C. Plaquevent, Bull. SOC. Chim. Fr. 1984, II-421.
- 2. a) J.K. Whitesell, S.W. Felman, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 755; b) M. Asami, Chem. Lett. 1984. 829.
- 3. H. Hogeveen and L. Zwart, Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 105.
- 4. Ketone 2 was chosen as model system because in the corresponding carbanion (2) there is no Z/E stereochemistry to deal with and on deprotonation of 2 only one prochiral center is formed.
- 5. The e.e. values were determined by two independent methods. First, chiral shift NMR with Eu(DCM), showed samples of 2 with  $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor_{\mathsf{c}}$ . CHCl , =  $-36.3$  (c=1.8; CHCl<sub>3</sub>) ts with Eu(DCM), showed samples of 2 with  $\left[\alpha\right]_{578}^{57}$  = -36.3 (c=1.8; CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and<br>+11.7 (c=1.8; CHCl<sub>3</sub>) to have an e.e. of 46% and 15%, respectively. Second, b<br>veloped by Konig 3in which compound 2 was convented by a developed by Konig,  $\sim$ in which compound 2 was converted to the corresponding antioxime the enantiomeric ratio of which was determined by GLC using a chiral capil oxime the enantiomeric ratio of which was determined by GLC using a chiral capillary<br>colugn (XE-60-(S)-valine-(S)-a-methylbenzylamide. When a<sub>r</sub> sample of <u>2</u> with [a]  $\frac{1}{578}$  = -= - 67.9 was used an e.e. of 92% was found. The observed  $\lfloor\alpha\rfloor_{\mathsf{c7}8}^-$  and the calculated'e.e. values do linearly correlate.
- 6. W.A. Konig, I. Benecke, K. Ernst, <u>J. Chromat</u>. 1982, **253**, 267.
- 7. The capillary fused silica column, coated with XE-60-(S)-valine-(S)-a-methylbenzylamide *was* obtained from Chrompack (Middelburg, The Netherlands).
- 8. The R-enantiomer of 2 has also been sygthesized starting from 2,2-dimethylcyclohexanone by a method developed by Enders et al. In this way compound 2 was obtained in a chemical by a method developed by shaers et al. In this way compound  $\ge$  yield of 25% (not optimalized) with an  $\left[\alpha\right]_{678}$  of -67.9.
- 9. D. Enders, H. Eichenauer, Angew. Chem. <sup>.</sup>
- 10. By application of the octant rule the absolute configuration was determined. A sample of -36.3 (run 4) is enriched in its R-enantiomer because the sample showed a on effect in its CD-spectrum ([ $\theta$ ] $_{\alpha\beta}$  $= -2680$ ).
- 11. ≒6ŭt, The ethereal solvents, diisopropyl ether and  $\sf{t}^{\pm 0}$ utyl methyl ether gave irreproducible results (under apparently the same reaction conditions the e.e. changed from 0% to 48% and from 0% to 428, respectively).
- 12. Compound <u>5</u> was synthesized by treatment of <u>2</u> (1.0 g) with a 20% DC1 solution in D<sub>2</sub>0 (5 ml) with THF as co-solvent (15 ml) at room temperature for 16 h (91% yield; >95%  $5$ incorporation in one cycle).
- 13. M.B. Eleveld, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Groningen, 1984; e.e. 110%.
- 14. H. Hogeveen and W.M.P.B. Menge, to be published; e.e. 67%.
- 15. a) P.L. Greger, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>. 1976, 92, 1396; b) T. Laube, J.D. Dunitz, D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1985, 68, 1373; c) C.H. Heathcock in "Asymmetric Reactions and Processes in Chemistry", E.L. Eliel and S. Otsuka (Eds.), Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, p. 69-70 (1982).
- 16. These observations are neither consistent with a mechanism in which a difference in the rates of protonation  $(k_1 \neq k_2)$  is responsible for the observed optical induction nor with one in which the equilibrium ratio 6a/6b differs from unity.
- 17. one in which the equilibrium ratio <u>6a/66</u> differs from unity.<br>a) H.M. Walborsky, L. Barash, A.E. Young, F.J. Impasto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 2517; b) H.M. Walborsky, F.M. Hornyak, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 6026</u>.
- 18. When diethyl ether instead of THF was used as solvent irreproducible results were obtained (the o.y. ranged from 0% to 40% in various apparently similar experiments).

(Received in UK 29 November 1985)